

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,086	11/19/2003	Reade Clemens	EH-10546A(01-465A)	9418
34704 75	590 08/30/2005	-	EXAMINER	
BACHMAN & LAPOINTE, P.C. 900 CHAPEL STREET		NGUYEN, PHONG H		
SUITE 1201	·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW HAVEN, CT 06510			3724	

DATE MAILED: 08/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\mathcal{C}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commons	10/718,086	CLEMENS, READE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phong H. Nguyen	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with) the correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep y within the statutory minimum of thirty vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI , cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this con NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
Status			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matte		merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	ех рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 19 November 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) accepted or b)⊠ drawing(s) be held in abeyand tion is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i) is objected to. See 37 CFI	R 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. Is have been received in Ap rity documents have been r u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO	-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The Terminal Disclaimer filed on 06/30/2005 is acknowledged. Double patenting is withdrawn.

Specification

2. The Specification is objected to under 37 CFR 1.71 for not clearly explaining the diamond mounting direction in paragraph [0019].

The Specification does not describe how the locations of the coordinates are defined so that one or ordinary skilled in the art can make and use the same. That is, whether these coordinates are defined relatively to the shank axis or the diamond tip axis.

It is unclear whether Applicant describes atomic arrangement of atoms in the diamond tip 16 or the angle of the diamond tip 16 with respect the shank axis 24.

It is unclear how Applicant defined a diamond having a 90 degree included angle conical point in claim 9 and a diamond having a 120 degree included angle conical point in claim 10. See paragraph [0020].

It is unclear what a single crystal diamond is. See paragraph [0017] and [0021].

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the following

Application/Control Number: 10/718,086

Art Unit: 3724

limitations must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

-A diamond having a 90 degree included angle conical point in claim 9.

-A diamond having a 120 degree included angle conical point in claim 10.

Page 3

-A single crystal diamond.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Regarding claims 1, 13 and 14, it is unclear whether Applicant claims atomic arrangement of atoms in the diamond tip 16 or the angle of the diamond tip 16 with respect to the shank axis 24 or the angle of the outer surface of the diamond tip with respect to the diamond tip's axis or the diamond tip's base. There is insufficient information as to the specific intrinsic crystallographic direction and the angle to what it is. That is, it is not clear what the <17, 12, 24> direction represents.

It is not clear why mounting of any diamond tip to an indenting tool would not inherently meet the limitation of the direction of the diamond tip, since the Applicant's diamond tip is very similar to other diamond tips which both align with the axis of their shank and both have conical shape.

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3724

The term "a <17, 12, 24> direction" is confused with shank 12 and shank axis 24.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

9. Claims 1-6 and 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Anderson et al. (6,051,079), hereinafter Anderson.

Regarding claims 1 and 13, Anderson teaches a tool capable of being used as an indenting tool comprising a shank 10 and a diamond tip 12. See Fig. 3, col. 3, lines 29-54 and the Abstract.

To the extent explained by Applicant's specification, drawings, and claims,

Anderson anticipates the limitation of the direction of the diamond tip. Since Anderson's

diamond tip is similar to Applicant's diamond tip which has a conical shape and aligns

with the shank axis and Applicant's diamond tip is considered "within 8 degrees of a

<17, 12, 24> direction"; therefore, a conical tip being on the axis of a shank is considered

"within 8 degrees of a <17, 12, 24>" direction.

Regarding claim 2, shank 10 is made of steel.

Regarding claims 3 and 4, see Fig. 3.

Regarding claims 5 and 6, since Anderson claims the diamond tip in general,

Anderson anticipates narrower claims 5 and 6.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,086

Art Unit: 3724

Regarding claim 8, see Fig. 1.

Regarding claims 9 and 10, since Applicant does not clearly define the shape of the diamond tip and the diamond tip in Figs. 1 an 2 of the Applicant's disclosure has a the same shape of the diamond tip of Anderson; therefore, Anderson anticipates claims 9 and 10.

Regarding claim 11, see col. 3, lines 29-54 and the Abstract.

Regarding claim 12, see Fig. 1.

Regarding claim 14, Anderson teaches method capable of making an indenting tool comprising the steps of:

-providing a shank 10 having an end;

-providing a diamond 12;

-positioning the diamond in a wear resistant position;

-securing the diamond to the end of the shank; and

-the positioning step comprising positioning the diamond a wear resistant orientation of within 8 degrees of a <17, 12, 24> direction.

See Fig. 3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3724

11. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson.

Anderson teaches the invention substantially as claimed but silence on whether the diamond is synthetic or natural. However, choosing a synthetic diamond or a natural diamond to manufacture a diamond tip is not patentably distinct over prior art since it involves cost analysis, the availability of natural diamond and synthetic diamond and market demand.

Page 7

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phong H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4510. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3724

PN: m

August 23, 2005

Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700 Page 8